



Manifesto of Common Ordering

Authorized English translation · Foundational edition
vO.1

FOUNDATIONAL ARCHIVE · AUTHORIZED ENGLISH TRANSLATION

This edition is an authorized English translation of the French source corpus.
It creates no independent canonical decision.

Contents

1. Manifesto of the Common Ordering
2. Ethics of Non-Domination
3. Open Questions

Manifesto of the Common Ordering

We call ordering the act of making relationships legible without claiming to exhaust reality. We distinguish trace, Interpretation, Symbol, prescription, and silence. We hold all authority to be fallible, limited, revocable, and subject to appeal.

We reject domination through debt, secrecy, fear, the promise of salvation, infallibility, or social captivity. No protection depends on a rite. No departure depends on permission. A community that cannot tolerate departure does not deserve adherence.

We prefer decisions that minimize harm, protect people, publish their limitations, and remain reversible. We preserve metaphysical disagreements: cooperation means neither merging nor ranking explanations of the world.

We promise neither healing, nor certainty, nor final victory. We promise only a revisable method: describe, connect, test, repair, then begin again.

Ethics of Non-Domination

1. Every person retains the right to leave immediately.
2. Protection, ordinary care, and recourse do not depend on any belief.
3. Coercion requires necessity, evidence, proportionality, a time limit, and recourse.
4. Authority is a temporary mandate, never an essence.
5. Any error that causes harm warrants restitution and reparation.
6. Publicly expressed doubt is a contribution, not a fault.
7. Data are minimized; people do not become means.
8. A symbol proves nothing, and a myth does not replace science.
9. No gift, debt, or access to material resources may create captivity.
10. A practice is also judged by how easily it can be refused.

Status: non_canonical, revisable through evidence, objection, and feedback.

Open Questions

- What future criteria might justify canonization?
- How can the effects of a rite be measured without conflating narrative and science?
- How can a truly independent appeals process be sustainably funded?
- What indirect forms of social captivity remain invisible?
- How can the Language of Ordering be translated without imposing a culture?
- What rights should be recognized for synthetic agents without simulating their consent?